



Republika ng Pilipinas
 Republic of the Philippines
Kagawaran ng Tanggulang Pambansa
 Department of National Defense

OCT 15 2015

DND BIDS AND AWARDS COMMITTEE

SUPPLEMENTAL BID BULLETIN NR.DND/PN-MPAC-(L1)-15-01

(Supply and Delivery of Three (3) Multi-Purpose Attack Craft Lot 1 – Platform of the Philippine Navy with Mission Essential Equipment and Initial ILS)

This Supplemental Bid Bulletin is issued to inform prospective bidders of the modifications, amendments, or additional requirements that form part of the Bid Documents for the Philippine Navy Multi-Purpose Attack Craft Acquisition Project (Lot 1 – Platform):

As response to the letter of clarification written by the prospective bidders.

Item Nr	Particulars	Reference
1	<p>Q. In ITB Clause 8.2, subcontractors must comply with the eligibility criteria and documentary requirements specified in the Bid Data Sheet (BDS). BDS 8.1 (b) cited the portions of the goods that can only be subcontracted, and in BDS 8.1 (c), only with OEM or exclusive local distributor or authorized service provider. On the other hand, BDS 8.2 (b) requires ISO 9001:2008 Certification of the subsystem/major component manufacturer, specifically Propulsion, Navigation and Auxiliary systems.</p> <p><u>Does it follow that outside of the specifically cited works, other subcontracted portions need not carry ISO 9001:2008 certifications?</u></p> <p>A. Yes. Only subsystem/major component manufacturer, specifically Propulsion, Navigation and Auxiliary systems require ISO 9001:2008 certification. All other subcontracted portions need not have such certification.</p>	<p>Sec II – ITB Clause 8.2</p> <p>Sec III – BDS Clause 8.1 and 8.2</p>
2	<p>Q. In the failed MPAC Bidding conducted last year, we were disqualified because the English Translation of the eligibility documents of our Joint Venture Partner, N. Sundin Dockstavarvet AB was certified by the Honorary Consul of Sweden in the Philippines, there being no formal Sweden Embassy or Consulate in the country. ITB Clause 11 of that particular bidding called for the certification of the English translation by the</p>	<p>Sec II – ITB Clause 11</p>

	<p>appropriate embassy or consulate in the Philippines, which Sweden has none, except for an Honorary Consul in Manila.</p> <p>Under ITB Clause 11 of the present MPAC bid, the document must be translated by the authorized foreign government agency or registered translator in the foreign bidder's country, which in our case is Sweden, and shall be authenticated by the appropriate Philippine foreign service establishment/post or the equivalent office having jurisdiction over the bidder's (Sweden's) affairs in the Philippines. In BDS# 11, it is provided that the English translation must be authenticated and certified by the appropriate embassy or its equivalent, or any authorized competent entity in the Philippines or the nearest Embassy or Consular Office that has jurisdiction over the Philippines.</p> <p>Considering the additional entities that can now authenticate the English Translation of Dockstavarvet's documents, <u>will the authentication of the English translation by the Honorary Consul of Sweden in Manila suffice to meet eligibility requirements?</u></p> <p>A. No. The authentication of English translation by an Honorary Consul is not acceptable. <u>In cases where no Philippine Foreign Service/Post or office is existing in the country of origin of the foreign partner, the certification may be issued by the nearest Philippine Embassy or Consulate.</u></p>	
3	<p>Q. We are located at the Freeport Area of Bataan, where Mayor's Permit from the Municipality of Mariveles, Bataan is not required for us to operate legally. Instead, we possess a Certificate of Registration and Permit from the Authority of The Freeport Area of Bataan (AFAB).</p> <p><u>Is the AFAB Permit sufficient for eligibility to bid?</u></p> <p>A. Yes. In the case of supplier operating within the premise of a Freeport Zone, an appropriate equivalent document issued by the Freeport authority will suffice as equivalent to the Mayor's Permit provided that the Goods subject of this bidding is not included in the "<i>Negative List</i>" issued by said authority. Hence, certification to that effect shall also be submitted by the supplier.</p>	<p>Sec II – ITB Clause 12.1 (a)(ii)</p>
4	<p>Q. ITB Clause 29.2 (b) require the bidder who submitted Lowest Calculated Bid to submit</p>	<p>Sec II – ITB Clause 29.2</p>

	<p>Certificate of PhilGEPS Registration. BDS #29.2 (b) provides that in case of Joint Venture, each member of the JV shall submit their certificates of PhilGEPS registration.</p> <p><u>Is a foreign partner in a joint venture like N. Sundin Dockstavarvet AB of Sweden still required to register even if it has already licensed its local partner in the joint venture to distribute/sell its products in the Philippines?</u></p> <p>A. Yes. This required by 8.5.1 of the Implementing rules and Regulations of RA 9184 and further mandated under GPPB Resolution No. 30-2013.</p>	(b)
5	<p>Q. In reference to Section II. Instructions to Bidders, 5. Eligible Bidders, it was noted during the pre-bid meeting that the acquisition of the proposed vessels should comply with the Nationalised Procurement Process, and that foreign companies like Austal Philippines, would be excluded.</p> <p>Austal Philippines would like to request clarification on this statement in light of clause 5.2 which specifically states that Foreign Bidders may be eligible to participate when any of the listed circumstances exist. <u>It is the belief of Austal Philippines that clause 5.2 is effective therefore our company should be eligible to bid. Please confirm this is the case.</u></p> <p>A. Yes. So long as it complies with the conditions set forth in BDS Clause 5.2.</p>	Sec II – ITB Clause 5
6	<p>Q. Section VII. Technical Specifications: General Requirement for Design and Construction</p> <p>Sub-item 1 We respectfully request for details of the remote weapon system and missile launcher prior bid submittal so we can integrate installation considerations into our technical and cost structure.</p> <p>Sub-item 2 The requirement <i>to be able to operate day and night up to sea state 5 at head-on seas without system degradation</i> is not typical for this size of boat and will be challenging to meet making it impractical.</p> <p><u>We suggest it be made to read <i>“able to operate day and night up to sea state 3 at head-on seas</i></u></p>	Sec VII – Technical Specifications

	<p><u>without system degradation and handle up to sea state 5 at reduced vessel performance as a come home feature in cases of emergency</u></p> <p>A. (Sub-item 1) The details of remote weapons system and missile launcher, such as installation diagrams and instructions, will be provided only to the winning bidder.</p> <p>(Sub-item 2) The requirement shall be read as <i>“able to operate day and night up to sea state 3 at head-on seas without system degradation and be able to handle up to sea state 5 as survivability.”</i></p>	
<p>7</p>	<p>Q. Section VII. Technical Specifications: Capabilities and Performance Requirements</p> <p>Sub-item a. Particulars Displacement: not more than 30 tons</p> <p><u>We respectfully request that the displacement limits be made to read “+30 tons” in anticipation of potential weight add-ons due to the addition of the remote weapon system and missile launcher fortification placements.</u></p> <p>Ammunition Stowage: <u>Please provide for the Missile dimension and stowage requirements from OEM.</u></p> <p>Sub-item b. System Requirements: Fire Fighting: “Standard fire warning and fire extinguishing equipment (chemical type)...”</p> <p><u>Request to clarify that “chemical-type” actually means portable fire extinguisher.</u></p> <p>A. (Sub-item a) The specification shall be read as “Displacement: 30 Tons +/- 10%”.</p> <p>The details of missile dimension and stowage requirements will be provided only to the winning bidder.</p> <p>(Sub-item b) For Fire Fighting requirement, chemical-type portable fire extinguisher is required.</p>	<p>Sec VII – Technical Specifications</p>
<p>8</p>	<p>Q. Command and Surveillance:</p> <p>Sub Item 1: HF/VHF Dual-Band Radio Transceiver, Multiband Radio and Low Band Radio (Internal Communication) As indicated on the tech specs, the radio must be</p>	<p>Sec VII – Technical Specifications</p>

[Handwritten signatures and scribbles on the left margin]

[Handwritten signature]

	<p>Interoperable w/ PN-AFP radios and data mode of operation and its frequency range. It must be shock mounted too and must be an Approved marine type. The radio we are trying to propose is the PN preferred type and brand but is not manufactured by the OEM as “Marine Type”.</p> <p><u>If the desired unit of the PN is their preferred type and brand, the “Approved marine type” statement on the technical specs must be removed.</u></p> <p>Sub-Item 2: Another issue is the power output of the HF/VHF Dual-Band Radio transceiver. The tech specs require having the radio with a power output of not less than 150w. The standard power output of the PN preferred type and brand has a power output of 20w that can reach a maximum of 100NM on ideal conditions. Pushing it to 150w will require additional installation space (as the amplifier is bulky) and significant cost add-on.</p> <p><u>Given the foregoing, we respectfully request that the 150w power output be changed to the standard 20w.</u></p> <p>A. (Sub-Item 1) The request is denied. The statement “Approved Marine Type” shall be retained.</p> <p>(Sub-Item 2) The power output requirement of the HF/VHF Dual-band Radio transceiver shall be retained to at least 150 watts.</p>	
9	<p>Q. Logistics Requirements:</p> <p><u>We respectfully request that the weapon system operation and maintenance manual be removed from the list of Manuals, Plans and Drawings required as these are not part of the boat supply.</u></p> <p>A. Said items shall be removed. This is actually requirement meant for the MPAC Lot 2 (Weapons System and Missiles)</p>	Sec VII – Technical Specifications
10	<p>Q. Considering the requirements of ISO 9001:2008 of bidders and subcontractors, PhilGEPS registration and other stringent impositions which were adopted in the present bidding, there is obviously a need for more time for bidders to comply. We therefore request for a sixty (60) day postponement of the bidding to give us time to prepare our bid. (Rouvia Road Yacht Designs);</p>	Sec I – Invitation to Bid para. 6

[Handwritten signature and scribbles on the left margin]

[Handwritten signature]

In reference to Section I. Invitation to Bid, 6. Date of Bid Opening, Austal Philippines would formally like to request an extension to the date of bid opening by **six (6) weeks**, proposed new bid opening date is **May 18, 2015**. Please confirm acceptance of this new date. **(Austal Philippines)**;

The BAC might want to humbly consider the holy week next week (30 March to 05 April 2015) in extending the bid submittal from 06 April to **at least 10 April 2015. (Propmech Corporation)**

A. Schedule of Submission and Opening of Bids shall be on 27 October 2015, 10:00 A.M. at DND BAC Conference Room, Right Wing Basement, DND Building, Camp General Emilio Aguinaldo, Quezon City.

Please be guided accordingly.



ASEC ERNESTO D BOAC
Chairman, DND Bids and Awards Committee

